

BRIBES FOR M'LAUGHLIN.

CONTRACTOR SEAGRIST SWEARS THAT HE PAID THEM DIRECT.

But as to the \$500 bribe named in the indictment he can't remember whether M'Laughlin or Ward Man Burns got it. Contractor Seagrist swears that he paid the bribe to the Prisoner's Bondsmen, Mr. McLaughlin and the Prisoner's Bondsmen, Mr. McLaughlin.

The case for the People against Police Inspector M'Laughlin was closed in the Oyer and Terminer yesterday, seven witnesses having been called. Contractor F. W. Seagrist swore directly that he had paid a bribe to M'Laughlin's ward man, James Burns.

The prosecution, by way of enforcing its allegation that Burns was M'Laughlin's collector when M'Laughlin was Captain, called first Police Clerk William McLaughlin, who testified that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin, and that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin, and that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin.

Henry H. Lloyd, clerk of the Bureau of Incarceration, gave some facts regarding the arrest of M'Laughlin, and the fact that Burns was kept in the station house for some time. He also testified that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin, and that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin.

"I did not see the witness," said the Captain. "You didn't see him?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see him," said the Captain. "You didn't see him?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see him," said the Captain. "You didn't see him?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see him," said the Captain.

The witness said that when he left the station he found Burns waiting for him. Burns asked him to go to the station house with him. Burns said that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin, and that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin.

"I did not see the witness," said the Captain. "You didn't see him?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see him," said the Captain. "You didn't see him?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see him," said the Captain. "You didn't see him?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see him," said the Captain.

John Connolly, foreman for F. W. Seagrist, testified that he had charged a job at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin, and that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin.

John Connolly, foreman for F. W. Seagrist, testified that he had charged a job at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin, and that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin.

John Connolly, foreman for F. W. Seagrist, testified that he had charged a job at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin, and that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin.

John Connolly, foreman for F. W. Seagrist, testified that he had charged a job at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin, and that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin.

John Connolly, foreman for F. W. Seagrist, testified that he had charged a job at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin, and that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin.

John Connolly, foreman for F. W. Seagrist, testified that he had charged a job at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin, and that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin.

John Connolly, foreman for F. W. Seagrist, testified that he had charged a job at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin, and that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin.

John Connolly, foreman for F. W. Seagrist, testified that he had charged a job at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin, and that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin.

John Connolly, foreman for F. W. Seagrist, testified that he had charged a job at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin, and that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin.

John Connolly, foreman for F. W. Seagrist, testified that he had charged a job at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin, and that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin.

John Connolly, foreman for F. W. Seagrist, testified that he had charged a job at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin, and that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin.

John Connolly, foreman for F. W. Seagrist, testified that he had charged a job at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin, and that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin.

John Connolly, foreman for F. W. Seagrist, testified that he had charged a job at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin, and that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin.

John Connolly, foreman for F. W. Seagrist, testified that he had charged a job at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin, and that he had seen Burns at the station house on the morning of the arrest of M'Laughlin.

CUES BY CLAIRVOYANTS.

SEARCHERS FOR STUMPS' BODY STILL HAVE LOTS OF FAITH.

Interest in Perth Amboy is now centered on the wharf in the Raritan River. The two factions into which the searchers for the body of Joseph Stumps have separated are keeping a sharp lookout from diverse motives. The clairvoyant party hope that the body won't be found in the wharf. The anti-clairvoyants hope it will. The believers, if the body does not come up by to-day, which is the ninth day, on which all drowned bodies are supposed to rise, will hold that their case has been triumphantly proven, and that the clairvoyants who declared the body to be buried in a deep hole, with weights on it, are entirely correct.

"The idea was all right, but the time was no good. I always knew you couldn't get any decent time in Perth Amboy."

Many, however, believe that the body is not in the water, and their interest in the wharf is as lively as ever, is now purely negative. Led by W. R. Ford, A. F. Carter, and Duncan Currie, they have been sent further engaged in extensive, if somewhat spasmodic, excavation. One of the family of the Watsons who owned the wharf, and who were old friends of Stumps, once worked there, and was seen on the day of his disappearance, started a report that somewhere on the grounds about the wharf, he thought in one of the small pools, was a deep well. This report roused the clairvoyant party to a pitch of wild excitement.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

"Did you see the body?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness. "You didn't see it?" asked the witness. "No, I didn't see it," said the witness.

A FLAG OF TRUCE DIDN'T SAVE HIM.

Crombert, the Cuban Leader, Said to Have Been Treacherously Killed.

Boston, May 9.—A letter has been received by a gentleman from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Spanish forces were unable to accomplish anything toward the defeat or disarmament of Crombert, and decided to call a meeting between themselves and the insurgent leader, and with this end in view sent an officer under the white flag with an invitation to Crombert, who received the officer courteously and agreed to meet the Spaniards at the same afternoon.

"After refusing to accept the terms offered by the Spaniards, Crombert, while preparing to leave, was, as agreed upon by the Spanish officials, shot and hacked to pieces without even a semblance of respect for the conditions of the flag of truce. The Spanish officials partook of a meal of premature celebration, and the war, and it is a most obvious transgression of the laws of war, and the Spaniards, who provided the Government of the United States with vouchers for their protection.

"SANTIAGO, May 9.—It was made known to-day that the insurgents lost ten killed and twenty wounded in the attack on El Cristo on last Tuesday.

"MAYAGUEZ, May 9.—The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

"The Imprenta prints a dispatch from the city from a friend in Cuba. It says that Crombert, the insurgent leader, who is alleged to have been killed in battle, was foully assassinated while depending upon the protection of a flag of truce.

THEY'RE CORKERS.

These cork-sole shoes of cork, just heavy enough for sickle spring, and just good enough to be called the Regal \$3. Shoe.

Made of fine stock and specially made. Extra wide a specialty. See for catalogue. One hundred styles at \$3.00.

"From Factory to Foot."

The Regal \$3. Shoe.

837 Fulton St., Brooklyn.

The Regal \$3. Shoe.

837 Fulton St., Brooklyn.

The Regal \$3. Shoe.

837 Fulton St., Brooklyn.

The Regal \$3. Shoe.

837 Fulton St., Brooklyn.

The Regal \$3. Shoe.

837 Fulton St., Brooklyn.

The Regal \$3. Shoe.

837 Fulton St., Brooklyn.

The Regal \$3. Shoe.

837 Fulton St., Brooklyn.

The Regal \$3. Shoe.

837 Fulton St., Brooklyn.

The Regal \$3. Shoe.

837 Fulton St., Brooklyn.

The Regal \$3. Shoe.

837 Fulton St., Brooklyn.

The Regal \$3. Shoe.

837 Fulton St., Brooklyn.

The Regal \$3. Shoe.

837 Fulton St., Brooklyn.

The Regal \$3. Shoe.

837 Fulton St., Brooklyn.

The Regal \$3. Shoe.

837 Fulton St., Brooklyn.

The Regal \$3. Shoe.

837 Fulton St., Brooklyn.

RUSSIA WAS PREPARING TO FIGHT.

She Would Have Attacked Japan if that Country Had Not Yielded.

BERLIN, May 9.—The Tagblatt's St. Petersburg correspondent says: "Until last Sunday it was believed here that Japan would not yield Port Arthur. The Government had ordered the mobilization of 110,000 troops in the district of Irkutsk and Tomsk. Seventy officers started on the capital to supervise the operation, and 140 more were prepared to follow them. An initial credit of 20,000,000 roubles was ordered to cover the operation. It was in view of this that Japan yielded. The Czar, on the following day, at the review, informed the Japanese minister that the mobilization need not be effected."

"It is stated that Russia will keep her forces a larger force in East Asia, increasing the Amur troops to 100,000."

LONDON, May 9.—The Foreign Office has been informed by the Japanese Government that the Chinese-Japanese treaty was ratified on May 8 in the exact form agreed upon at Shimoda.

A despatch to the Times from Chifu says that ratifications were exchanged between the Japanese and Chinese envoys at midnight last night. The despatch also says that Russia disapproved of the agreement in Manchuria and is acting purely on a defensive footing against Japan.

The Daily News correspondent in Vienna says: "The Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness."

The Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness."

The Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness."

The Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness."

The Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness."

The Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness."

The Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness."

The Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness."

The Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness."

The Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness."

The Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness."

The Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness."

The Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness."

The Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness."

The Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness."

The Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness."

The Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness."

The Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness, and that the Japanese Government has been successful in convincing Japan of Russia's reasonableness